

JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS 143, 560–571 (1989)

Mild Almost Periodic Solutions of Abstract Differential Equations

CARLOS LIZAMA

*Departamento de Matemáticas y Ciencia de la Computación,
Universidad de Santiago de Chile, Facultad de Ciencia,
Casilla 5659, Correo 2, Santiago de Chile, Chile*

Submitted by C. Foias

Received February 4, 1988

Necessary and sufficient conditions are established for the existence of mild almost periodic solutions of Cauchy problems $u' = Au + f$ and $u'' = Au + f$, where A is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup (respectively, a cosine function) on a Hilbert space and f an almost periodic function. © 1989 Academic Press, Inc.

INTRODUCTION

Let H be a Hilbert space and A the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup T on H . The problem of the existence of periodic solutions of the equation

$$u' = Au + f,$$

where f is a periodic H -valued function, has been studied by many authors. In particular, in [7] several results of this kind are presented.

Mild solutions on the whole real line for equations with generators of semigroups were first considered in [13], which extends results in [6].

In the first section of this paper, Haraux's [7] results are extended to almost periodic functions.

Using these results, a general characterization is obtained in the case where the operator A is perturbed by a relatively bounded operator which satisfies certain spectral properties.

In the second section, the second order Cauchy problem is studied. It is known [5] that this study cannot be covered, in general, by going back to first order problems; however, characterizations analogous to those of the first section are obtained.

Finally, applications to the Schrödinger equation and to the wave equation are considered.

1. THE CASE OF THE FIRST ORDER PROBLEM

We will denote by H a Hilbert space with norm $\| \cdot \|$. Recall [8] that Bohr's transform of an almost periodic function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H$ is defined by the expression

$$a(\lambda, f) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-i\lambda t} f(t) dt.$$

We use the notation

$$\text{BC}(\mathbb{R}, H) = \{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H \mid f \text{ is continuous}$$

$$\text{and } \|f\| = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(t)\| < \infty\}$$

$$\text{AP}(\mathbb{R}, H) = \{f \in \text{BC}(\mathbb{R}, H) \mid f \text{ is almost periodic (a.p.)}\}$$

$$\sigma(f) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid a(\lambda, f) \neq 0\}$$

It is well known that the set $\sigma(f)$ is, at most, denumerable (see [1]).

We will denote by A a denumerable set $\{\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}, n \geq 0\}$ such that:

$$(i) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_n| = +\infty.$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{There exists a constant } \theta \in (0, 1) \text{ such that } |\lambda_n| \leq \theta |\lambda_{n+1}|.$$

We set

$$\text{AP}_A(\mathbb{R}, H) = \{f \in \text{AP}(\mathbb{R}, H) \mid \sigma(f) = A\}.$$

Let f in $L^1(\mathbb{R}, H)$ be fixed, and let A be the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on H ; a function u in $C(\mathbb{R}, H)$ is called a mild solution (on \mathbb{R}) of

$$u'(t) = Au(t) + f(t) \tag{1.1}$$

if the following formula is valid:

$$u(t) = T_{t-s}u(s) + \int_s^t T_{t-v}f(v) dv, \quad s \leq t, s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.2}$$

(see [4, 11, 7]).

If $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, H)$ and satisfies (1.1), then it is called a strict solution.

Conditions under which a mild solution is a strict solution have been established by several authors; see [4, 11].

The following result, valid also in Banach spaces, will be fundamental in our considerations. Its proof appears in [12] and generalizes [7].

THEOREM 1.1. *Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on a Hilbert space H . Let $f \in \text{AP}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ and let u be a mild a.p. solution of (1.1). Then $a(\lambda, u) \in D(A)$ and*

$$(i\lambda - A)a(\lambda, u) = a(\lambda, f), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.3)$$

THEOREM 1.2. *Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on a Hilbert space H . Suppose that $iA \subseteq \rho(A)$ and that there is a sequence $\{t_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ in $l^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ such that*

$$\|(i\lambda_n - A)\| \leq t_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq k_0, k_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Then, for each $f \in \text{AP}_A(\mathbb{R}, H)$ there is a unique mild solution of (1.1) in $\text{AP}_A(\mathbb{R}, H)$.

Proof. By a result from [3] which is given in the scalar case, but whose proof is also valid in a Banach space, Conditions (i) and (ii) on $\sigma(f)$ imply that

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a(\lambda_k, f) e^{i\lambda_k t}, \quad (1.4)$$

where the convergence is uniform on \mathbb{R} .

From the fact that H is a Hilbert space, it is known (see [8]) that Parseval's equation holds; that is,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|f(s)\|^2 ds = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|a(\lambda_k, f)\|^2. \quad (1.5)$$

We define

$$U_N(t) = \sum_{k=0}^N (i\lambda_k - A)^{-1} a(\lambda_k, f) e^{i\lambda_k t}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (1.6)$$

$$f_N(t) = \sum_{k=0}^N a(\lambda_k, f) e^{i\lambda_k t}. \quad (1.7)$$

Then the U_N are strict a.p. solutions of

$$U'_N(t) = AU_N(t) + f_N(t), \quad (1.8)$$

i.e.,

$$U_N(t) = T_{t-s} U_N(s) + \int_s^t T_{t-v} f_N(v) dv, \quad s \leq t, N \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.9)$$

Moreover, for $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_N(t) - U_M(t)\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=M+1}^N (i\lambda_k - A)^{-1} a(\lambda_k, f) e^{i\lambda_k t} \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=M+1}^N |t_k| \|a(\lambda_k, f)\| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=M+1}^N |t_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=M+1}^N \|a(\lambda_k, f)\|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, U_N converges uniformly on \mathbb{R} to a function u ; in particular (see [8]), $u \in \text{AP}_A(\mathbb{R}, H)$.

Observation. If we compare the Sup-norm of f with respect to the Sup-norm of the solution of (1.1) found through the previous process, we have

$$\|u\|_{\infty} \leq \|(t_n)\|_2 \|f\|_{\infty}. \quad (1.10)$$

THEOREM 1.3. *Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on the Hilbert space H such that $A = B + C$, where B and C are linear operators with $D(B) \subseteq D(C)$ and satisfying*

$$\|Cx\| \leq a \|x\| + b \|Bx\|; \quad 0 \leq b < 1/2, a > 0, x \in D(B) \quad (1.11)$$

$$\sum_{a,b} = \{i\lambda/\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, |\lambda| > a/(1-2b)\} \subseteq \rho(B) \quad (1.12)$$

$$\|(zI - B)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{M}{|z|}; \quad z \in \sum_{a,b}; M > 0. \quad (1.13)$$

Let $f \in \text{AP}_A(\mathbb{R}, H)$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) *There exists a mild a.p. solution of (1.1).*
- (b) *For $|\lambda_k| \leq a/(1-2b)$ we have $a(\lambda_k, f) \in \text{Im}(i\lambda_k - A)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 we know that (a) implies (b); conversely, we define

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(t) &= \sum_{|\lambda| \leq a/(1-2b)} a(\lambda_k, f) e^{i\lambda_k t} \\ f_1(t) &= \sum_{|\lambda_k| > a/(1-2b)} a(\lambda_k, f) e^{i\lambda_k t}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

Then, from (1.5),

$$f(t) = f_0(t) + f_1(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.15)$$

By hypothesis, for each λ_k with $|\lambda_k| \leq a/1 - 2b$, there exists $x_k \in D(A)$ such that

$$a(\lambda_k, f) = (i\lambda_k - A)x_k \quad (1.16)$$

$$u_0(t) = \sum_{|\lambda_k| \leq a/(1-2b)} x_k e^{i\lambda_k t}. \quad (1.17)$$

From (1.13) and (1.16) we obtain that $u_0(t)$ is a strict a.p. solution of the equation

$$u'(t) = Au(t) + f_0(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.18)$$

On the other hand, if $|\lambda_k| > a/(1 - 2b)$, then from (1.12) and (1.13) we have

$$i\lambda_k \in \rho(B) \quad (1.19)$$

and

$$\|(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{M}{|\lambda_k|}. \quad (1.20)$$

Moreover, from (1.11), we get for $x \in H$

$$\|C(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1}x\| \leq a \|(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1}x\| + b \|B(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1}x\|. \quad (1.21)$$

Making use of (1.20) and the identity $B(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1} = i\lambda_k(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1} - I$, we obtain

$$\|C(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1}x\| \leq \frac{aM}{|\lambda_k|} \|x\| + bM \|x\| + b \|x\|, \quad x \in H. \quad (1.22)$$

We consider the following two cases:

Case 1. $0 \leq M < 1$. Then, from (1.22), we obtain $\|C(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1}\| \leq a/|\lambda_k| + 2b$.

Case 2. $M > 1$. Then, dividing by M in (1.22), we obtain $(1/M) \|C(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1}\| \leq a/|\lambda_k| + 2b$.

Since the only point of accumulation of $\{\lambda_k\}_k$ is ∞ , there exists a $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda_k|} < \frac{1-2b}{a} - \beta; \quad (1.23)$$

therefore, if we define, for $\delta \in \{1, M\}$, $\|x\|_\delta = (1/\delta) \|x\|$, $x \in H$, $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\|C(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1}\|_\delta \leq \frac{a}{|\lambda_k|} + 2b < 1 - \beta a < 1. \quad (1.24)$$

Then $(I - C(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1})^{-1}$ exists and

$$(I - C(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1})^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (C(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1})^k, \quad (1.25)$$

where convergence is taken in terms at the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\delta}$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (I - C(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1})^{-1} (i\lambda_k - B)^{-1} &= [(i\lambda_k - B)(I - C(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1})]^{-1} \\ &= (i\lambda_k - B - C)^{-1} = (i\lambda_k - A)^{-1}; \end{aligned}$$

then, from (1.20) and (1.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(i\lambda_k - A)^{-1}\|_{\delta} &\leq \frac{\|(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1}\|_{\delta}}{1 - \|C(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1}\|_{\delta}} \\ &\leq \frac{M \delta^{-1}}{|\lambda_k|} \frac{1}{1 - \|C(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1}\|_{\delta}}, \quad \delta \in \{1, M\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.26)$$

Observe that (1.24) yields

$$\beta a < 1 - \|C(i\lambda_k - B)^{-1}\|_{\delta}, \quad \delta \in \{1, M\}, \quad (1.27)$$

so that, from (1.26), we have

$$\|(i\lambda_k - A)^{-1}\|_{\delta} < \frac{M \delta^{-1}}{|\lambda_k|} \frac{1}{\beta a}, \quad \delta \in \{1, M\}. \quad (1.28)$$

Now, we observe that Condition (ii) on $\sigma(f)$ implies

$$|\lambda_0| \leq \Theta |\lambda_1| \leq \Theta^2 |\lambda_2| \leq \dots \leq \Theta^k |\lambda_k|; \quad \Theta \in (0, 1) \quad (1.29)$$

Let $k_0 = \min\{k/\lambda_k \neq 0\}$; then, from (1.29),

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda_k|} \leq \frac{\Theta^{k-k_0}}{|\lambda_{k_0}|}, \quad \Theta \in (0, 1), k \geq k_0. \quad (1.30)$$

Hence, from (1.28), we obtain

$$\|(i\lambda_k - A)^{-1}\|_{\delta} \leq \frac{\Theta^{-k_0}}{|\lambda_{k_0}|} \cdot \frac{M \cdot \delta}{\beta \cdot a} \Theta^k, \quad \Theta \in (0, 1); \delta \in \{1, M\}; k \geq k_0.$$

Finally, in either case,

$$\|(i\lambda_k - A)^{-1}\|_{\delta} < \frac{\Theta^{-k_0}}{|\lambda_{k_0}|} \cdot \frac{M}{\beta \cdot a} \cdot \Theta^k \quad \Theta \in (0, 1), k \geq k_0,$$

and the result is a consequence of Theorem 1.2.

Remark. The previous theorem holds in the following cases:

- (1) A a linear bounded operator (compare with [7] in the periodic case);
- (2) B self-adjoint, C satisfying (1.11), $D(B) \subseteq D(C)$, and $A = B + C$ the generator of a C_0 -semigroup; in particular, if C is bounded (compare [7]).
- (3) B self-adjoint and the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup, moreover C a bounded operator.
- (4) B the infinitesimal generator of a bounded analytic C_0 -semigroup of angle α (see [9]), C a closed linear operator which satisfies (1.11), $D(B) \subseteq D(C)$.

Let $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, $\lambda_n \neq 0$, be a sequence of real numbers which satisfies conditions (i) and (ii). Denote $\lambda_0 = 0$ and define $A_0 = \{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0}$.

The following result generalizes [7].

COROLLARY. *Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on a Hilbert space H such that*

$$iA_0 \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \rho(A) \quad (1.31)$$

$$\|(i\lambda_n - A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{M}{|\lambda_n|}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (1.32)$$

Let $f \in \text{AP}_{A_0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) *There exists a mild a.p. solution of (1.1).*
- (b) $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (1/T) \int_0^T f(t) dt \in \text{Im}(A)$.

Proof. By hypothesis and as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we have

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a(\lambda_k, f) e^{i\lambda_k t},$$

where the convergence is uniform on \mathbb{R} . Moreover, there exists $x \in D(A)$ such that $a(0, f) = -Ax$.

Define $f_0(t) = -Ax$, $f_1(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a(\lambda_k, f) e^{i\lambda_k t}$. Following the proof of Theorem 1.3 with $a=0$ and $C \equiv 0$, we have that $u_0(t) = x$ is the solution of (1.18), and using (1.20) and (1.30) we have

$$\|(i\lambda_k - A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{M}{|\lambda_1|} \cdot \Theta^{k-1}, \quad \Theta \in (0, 1), k = 1, 2, \dots;$$

then by Theorem 1.2 with $A = A_0 \setminus \{0\}$ and (1.31), there exists for $f_1(t)$ a unique mild solution a.p. of $u'(t) = Au(t) + f_1(t)$. So, the corollary is valid.

Application to the Schrödinger Equation. Consider on $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Schrödinger equation,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = i \cdot \Delta u - i \cdot Vu.$$

We apply Theorem 1.3 with $B = i \cdot \Delta$ and $C = iV$. From [11, Chap. 7, Lemma 5.4 and Theorem 5.5] it is known that, if $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p \geq 2$, $p > n/2$, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\|Vu\| \leq \varepsilon \|Au\| + k\varepsilon^\alpha \|u\|, \quad u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = D(iV),$$

where k is a constant independent of ε , $\alpha > 0$.

Moreover, $A = B + C = i\Delta - iV$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

On the other hand, it is known (see [9, A-IV, Example 1.15]) that Δ generates a bounded analytic C_0 -semigroup on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, therefore (see [9, A-II, Theorem 1.14]) there exist $M > 0$ and $r \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} iz \in \rho(B) \quad \text{and} \\ \|R(iz, B)\| \leq \frac{M}{|z|} \quad \text{if } \operatorname{Re} z > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |z| \geq r. \end{aligned}$$

Now, choose $0 \leq \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ such that $k\varepsilon^\alpha/(1-2\varepsilon) > r$; then if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|\lambda| > k\varepsilon^\alpha/(1-2\varepsilon)$, we have that

$$i\lambda \in \rho(B) \quad \text{and} \quad \|(i\lambda - B)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{M}{|\lambda|},$$

so that Conditions (1.12) and (1.13) of Theorem 1.3 are satisfied. Hence, for any $f \in \operatorname{AP}_A(\mathbb{R}, H)$, the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = i \cdot \Delta u - iVu + f$$

has a unique mild a.p. solution in $\operatorname{AP}_A(\mathbb{R}, H)$ if and only if for each $\lambda_k \in \sigma(f)$ with $|\lambda_k| < k\varepsilon^\alpha/(1-2\varepsilon)$ we have $a(\lambda_k, f) \in \operatorname{Im}(i\lambda_k - i\Delta + iV)$.

2. THE CASE OF THE SECOND ORDER PROBLEM

Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous cosine function. In this section, the second Cauchy abstract problem is considered:

$$u''(t) = Au(t) + f(t), \quad (2.1)$$

where f is an a.p. function.

Note that $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, H)$ is called a mild solution of (2.1) if it satisfies the formula

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= C_{t-s}u(s) + S_{t-s}u'(s) \\ &\quad + \int_s^t S_{t-v}f(v) dv, \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where $t \rightarrow S_t$ is the associated sine function defined by

$$S_t x = \int_0^t C_s x ds. \quad (2.3)$$

If $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ satisfies (2.1), then it is called a strict solution.

The next result is the analogue of Theorem 1.1 of the first section and generalizes Lemma 1 in [2].

THEOREM 2.1. *Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous cosine function defined in a Banach space X . If $f \in \text{AP}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $u(t)$ is a mild a.p. solution (of class C^1) of (2.1). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} a(\lambda, u) &\in D(A) \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda^2 + A)a(\lambda, u) \\ &= -a(\lambda, f), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since u is a mild solution of (2.1), from (2.2) we have

$$C_s u(t) + S_s u'(t) = u(t+s) - \int_t^{t+s} S_{t+s-v} f(v) dv, \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that the following identities are valid:

$$C_s a(\lambda, u) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-i\lambda v} C_s u(v) dv, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}; \quad (2.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a(\lambda, u') &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-i\lambda v} u'(v) dv \\ &= i\lambda a(\lambda, u), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Using (2.5) and (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_s a(\lambda, u) + S_s a(\lambda, u') &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-i\lambda v} \{C_s u(v) + S_s u'(v)\} dv \\
 &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-i\lambda v} \left\{ u(v+s) - \int_v^{v+s} S_{v+s-t} f(t) dt \right\} dv \\
 &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-i\lambda v} u(v+s) dv \\
 &\quad - \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-i\lambda v} \int_v^{v+s} S_{v+s-t} f(t) dt dv \\
 &= e^{i\lambda s} a(\lambda, u) - \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-i\lambda v} \int_0^s S_{s-u} f(v+u) du dv \\
 &= e^{i\lambda s} a(\lambda, u) - e^{i\lambda s} \int_0^s e^{-i\lambda v} S_v a(\lambda, f) dv.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.6) again we have

$$C_s a(\lambda, u) + i\lambda S_s a(\lambda, u) = e^{i\lambda s} a(\lambda, u) - e^{i\lambda s} \int_0^s e^{-i\lambda v} S_v a(\lambda, f) dv; \quad (2.7)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{2}{s^2} (C_s - I) a(\lambda, u) + \frac{2}{s^2} (i\lambda S_s - i\lambda s) a(\lambda, u) \\
 &= \frac{2}{s^2} (e^{i\lambda s} - I - i\lambda s) a(\lambda, u) - \frac{2}{s^2} e^{i\lambda s} \int_0^s e^{-i\lambda v} S_v a(\lambda, f) dv.
 \end{aligned}$$

We let $s \rightarrow 0$ and observe that in this case

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{2}{s^2} (i\lambda S_s x - i\lambda s x) \rightarrow 0 \\
 &\frac{2}{s^2} (e^{i\lambda s} x - x - i\lambda s x) \rightarrow -\lambda^2 x \\
 &\frac{2e^{i\lambda s}}{s^2} \int_0^s e^{-i\lambda v} S_v x dv \rightarrow x.
 \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2.2. *Let H be a Hilbert space and A the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous cosine function. Suppose that $\{-\lambda_k^2\} \subseteq \rho(A)$ and that there exists $\{t_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ in $l^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ such that $\|\lambda_k(\lambda_k^2 + A)^{-1}\| \leq t_k$ for all $k \geq k_0$. Then, for each $f \in \text{AP}_A(\mathbb{R}, H)$, there exist a unique mild solution of (2.1) (of class C^1) $u(t) \in \text{AP}_A(\mathbb{R}, H)$. Moreover, $u'(t)$ is also almost periodic.*

THEOREM 2.3. *Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous cosine function on a Hilbert space H . Suppose that $A = B + C$, where B and C are linear operators with $D(B) \subseteq D(C)$ and such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$\|Cx\| \leq a\|x\| + b\|Bx\| \quad \text{for some } 0 \leq b < 1/2, a > 0, x \in D(B) \quad (2.8)$$

$$\sum_{a,b} = \{ -\lambda^2/\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad |\lambda| > a/(1-2b) \} \subseteq \rho(B) \quad (2.9)$$

$$\|\lambda(\lambda^2 + B)^{-1}\| \leq M/|\lambda|, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, |\lambda| > a/(1-2b), M > 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Let $f \in \text{AP}_A(\mathbb{R}, H)$; the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) *There exists a unique mild a.p. solution with $u'(t)$ a.p. of (2.1).*
- (b) *For $\lambda_k^2 \leq a/(1-2b)$, we have $a(\lambda_k, f) \in \text{Im}(\lambda_k^2 + A)$.*

The proofs of the above two theorems are similar to those of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 and therefore we omit them.

Remark. Theorem 2.3 holds, for example, when A is a bounded linear operator, or when B generates a cosine function which satisfies the spectral conditions (2.9) and (2.10) and C is a bounded operator (see [10]).

Application to the Wave Equation. Consider the wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \Delta u. \quad (2.11)$$

Let us consider in Theorem 2.3 $A = \Delta$ defined on $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

It is known that Δ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous cosine function (see [5]). Therefore we have

$$-\lambda^2 \in \rho(\Delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\lambda(\lambda^2 + \Delta)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{M}{|\lambda|} \quad \text{if } |\lambda| \geq \sqrt{r}$$

so that conditions (2.9) and (2.10) are satisfied with $a = \sqrt{r}$ and $b = 0$. We conclude that for $f \in \text{AP}_\Delta(\mathbb{R}, H)$ there exists a unique mild a.p. solution in $\text{AP}_\Delta(\mathbb{R}, H)$ of

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \Delta u + f$$

if and only if for $\lambda_k \in \sigma(f)$ with $\lambda_k^2 \leq \sqrt{r}$ we have that

$$a(\lambda_k, f) \in \text{Im}(\lambda_k^2 + \Delta).$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks Professors I. Cioranescu and H. Henriquez for their suggestions and comments for the development of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. M. AMERIO AND G. PROUSE, "Almost Periodic Functions and Functional Equations," Van Nostrand-Reinhold, Princeton, NJ, 1971.
2. I. CIORANESCU AND C. LIZAMA, Spectral properties of cosine operator functions, *Aequationes Math.* **36** (1988), 80-98.
3. C. CORDUNEANU, "Almost Periodic Functions," Interscience, New York, 1968.
4. E. DAVIES, "One Parameter Semigroups," Academic Press, New York/London, 1980.
5. H. FATTORINI, "Second Order Linear Differential Equations in Banach Spaces," North-Holland Mathematics Studies, No. 108, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
6. C. FOIAS AND S. ZAIDMAN, Almost periodic solutions of parabolic systems, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (3) **15** (1961), 247-262.
7. A. HARAUX, "Nonlinear Evolution Equations—Global Behavior of Solutions," Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 841, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1981.
8. B. LEVITAN AND V. ZHIKOV, "Almost Periodic Functions and Differential Equations," Cambridge Univ. Press, London/New York, 1982.
9. N. NAGEL, "One Parameter Semigroups of Positive Operators," Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1184, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1986.
10. B. NAGY, On cosine operator functions in Banach spaces, *Acta Sci. Math.* **36** (1974), 281-289.
11. A. PAZY, "Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations," Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1983.
12. J. PRUSS, On the spectrum of C_0 -semigroups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **284** (1984), 847-857.
13. S. ZAIDMAN, Uniqueness of bounded solutions for some abstract differential equations, *Ann. Univ. Ferrara Ser. VII* **14** (1969), 101-104.